PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 13 DEC 2015

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 24368:HALE	FOR FURTHER ACT		See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No.	International filing date (day	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 19.01.2004	
PCT/IB2005/000073 14.01.2005			13.01.2001	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n G10H7/10	ational classification and IPC			
A Parank				
Applicant YIP, Ho Yan Stephen et al.				
Authority under Article 35 and tra	nsmitted to the applicant a	(CCOlding to Airtiolo de	International Preliminary Examining .	
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:				
a Classet to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:				
sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).				
sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains indications	relating to the following ite	ms:		
	pinion			
☐ Box No. II Priority				
☐ Box No. III Non-establish	ment of opinion with regar	d to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability	
Box No. IV Lack of unity	of invention			
⊠ Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; of the state of	tement under Article 35(2) itations and explanations	with regard to novelty supporting such state	y, inventive step or industrial ment	
☐ Box No. VI Certain docur				
☐ Box No. VII Certain defec	ts in the international appli	cation		
☐ Box No. VIII Certain obser	vations on the internationa	al application		
		Date of completion of t	his report	
Date of submission of the demand	,	=		
19.04.2005		12.12.2005		
Name and mailing address of the internat preliminary examining authority:	tional	Authorized Officer	John Patantan, E	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich		Lecointe, M	span Pala	
Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 52 Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	23656 epmu d	Telephone No. +49 89	2399-	
1 ax. 140 00 2000 1100				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000073

_	Box No. I Basis of the	report		
1.	With regard to the langua filed, unless otherwise inc	ith regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	☐ This report is based which is the language	on translations from the original language into the following language , e of a translation furnished for the purposes of:		
	publication of the	ch (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) international application (under Rule 12.4) ninary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	have been furnished to th	nts* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which are receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this and are not annexed to this report):		
	Description, Pages			
	1-20	as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-9	as originally filed		
	Drawings, Sheets			
	1/14-14/14	as originally filed		
	☐ a sequence listing ar	nd/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	☐ The amendments ha	ve resulted in the cancellation of:		
	☐ the description, page of the claims, Nos.	ages		
	the drawings, she			
	☐ the sequence listi ☐ any table(s) relate	ed to sequence listing (specify):		
4.		established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the 70.2(c)).		
	☐ the description, p			
	\square the claims, Nos. \square the drawings, she			
	☐ the sequence listi ☐ any table(s) relate	ng <i>(specify)</i> : ed to sequence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
	, , ,	es, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

IMTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT **ON PATENTABILITY**

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000073

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2,6

Claims No:

1,3,4,5,7,8,9

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims No:

Claims

2,6

Industrial applicability (IA)

Claims Yes:

1-9

Claims No:

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- Reference is made to the following document:
 - D1: Group Additive Synthesis, Piotr Kleczkowski, Computer Music Journal Vol 13 No 1 Spring 1989
- 2. The present set of claims is not clear (Art. 6 PCT). Indeed, the following objections arise:
- 2.1 In the feature, point 3 of claim 1:

``Selecting harmonics of said sampled sound according to prescribed characteristics of the envelop of said harmonics for synthesizing harmonics of the synthesized sound"

The use the definite pronoun "**the** synthesiz**ed** sound" implies that the concept of "synthesized sound" has been defined and derived previously in claim 1. This is however not the case, and the cited feature is therefore unclear. This also applies to point 5 of claims 1 and claims 3,4,5,8 and 9.

Besides, there is an ambiguity arising in the whole set of claims concerning the use of the word "synthesising". Indeed, this word has two meanings: the first is the extraction of parameters relating to a sound (synthesis of sound [parameters]), and the second is the reconstitution of a sound form the previously extracted parameters ([re]synthesising a sound). These two meanings result in misleading formulations and understanding of the present set of claims:

it is unclear whether the expression ``synthesized sound" (see claim 1,3 4,5,8,9) refers to a sound to be generated (i.e. output sound) (1), or refer to the sampled sound from which parameters are extracted (2). The latter explanation (2) will be considered in the review for novelty and/or inventive step of claims 1, 3, 5, while the former interpretation (1) will be used in claims 4, 8, 9.

2.2 Claim 2 is in contradiction with claim 1, because it emphasises ``said prescribed characteristics for selecting a harmonic...", whereas claim 1 recites: ``selecting

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IB2005/000073

harmonics...", meaning that only one harmonic is selected in the case of claim 2 where multiple harmonic are selected in claim 1. Consequently, claim 2 will be interpreted as:

``wherein said prescribed characteristics for selecting harmonics include selecting harmonics with a more salient variation in amplitude over time."

3. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

"A method of synthesizing the sound of a musical instrument, including the steps of: Obtaining samples of the sound of said instrument (see D1 page 2 column 1 paragraph 2, see the word "isolated tone" and see page 6 section "The Stimuli") analysing the harmonics of said samples of said sound (see the word "partials" in D1 page 2 section "General Conception" and the last paragraph page 2 column 2), Selecting harmonics of said sampled sound according to prescribed characteristics of the envelop of said harmonics for synthesizing harmonics of the synthesized sound (see D1, page 2, end of column 2 to page 3, beginning of column 1),

grouping harmonics of said sampled sound of similar envelop characteristics and obtaining temporal characteristics of the group of harmonics from constituting harmonics of the same group (see D1, page 3, whole column 1 and beginning of column 2),

synthesizing a plurality of synthesized harmonics of the synthesized sound, wherein are least some of the synthesized harmonics are synthesised from one of the envelopes of the harmonics of a group (see D1, section "Verification of the Technique") and conditioned by temporal characteristics of the constituting harmonics of that group (see the equation (3) in D1 expressing the signal of the complete tone synthesised). "

 Dependent claims 2-9 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty or inventive step.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

- Claim 2 merely recites one straightforward alternative to the method recited in D1, page 3 column 2 lines 9-19. Claim 2 is therefore not inventive over D1 (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- Claim 3 merely recites the effect of the equation (3) in D1 and is thus not new over D1 (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- Claim 4 reflects the use of the A_{ki} scaling parameter in equation (3) of D1 and is thus not new over D1 (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- Claim 5, as understood from its unclear formulation (see clarity objection section 2.1), is not new over D1, where the term defined by equation (2) exhibits the claimed ``plurality of said characteristics harmonics (D1, each of the terms $A_i \sin[nTF_i\Omega(n)]$ of the sum in eq (2)) having a substantially similar envelope (D1, term E(n) in eq (2))". Claim 5 is thus not new over D1 (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- Claim 6 merely relates to a straightforward implementation choice the skilled person would make, e.g. by limiting the partials groups in order limit the processing power needed for running the method disclosed in D1 and is not inventive (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- Claim 7 merely claims the computation of the term (1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} A_{i} \sin[nTF_{i}\Omega(n)]$$

in equation (3) in D1 and is thus not new over D1 (Art. 33(2) PCT).

- The feature added in claim 8 is present in any type of group additive synthesis and thus present in D1 (See figures 1 and 2), and the term $E_k(n)$ in equation (3)) and is thus not new over D1 (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- Claim 9 merely represents the quality and type of sound sample on which the claimed method is applied and is not new over D1 (see pages 6-8, section "The

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2005/000073

Testing Procedure" and tables 1-4, see in particular the word "Cello"). Claim 9 is thus not new over D1 (Art. 33(2) PCT).